UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,064	07/21/2005	Massimiliano Pineschi	4017-34	3920
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/26/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	TURNER, SONJI LUCAS		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/543,064	PINESCHI, MASSIMILIANO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SONJI TURNER	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	/ _				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dissect in assertation with the practice and in E.	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-24,28 and 35-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 25-27,29-34 and 38-40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/2005. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/543,064 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed July 21, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein as other documents for patent abstracts of Japan has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 21, 22, and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sjoberg '928 (WO 02/054928).

Regarding claims 21, 22, and 35, Sjoberg '928 teaches vacuum cleaner with a collection chamber located along an intended path through which air current and detritus is conveyed. The collection chamber has a collection zone for the detritus, a compacting device movable across the collection zone, a barrier positioned transversally of a flow direction of the air current and detritus (figs. 1, 2; page 2, lines 20-34; page 3, lines 28-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/543,064 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 24, 28, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sjoberg '928 as applied to claims 21 and 35 above, and further in view of Wright '234 (US Patent 6,260,234) or Katsumi '030 (JP 04-370030).

Regarding claims 23, 24, 36, and 37, the teaching of Sjoberg '928 are set forth above. Sjoberg '928 does not teach a filter arrangement that is releasable and attached to an enclosure arrangement. Wright '234 does teach such arrangement (fig. 1, 2, 5; col. 5, lines 27-31). It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the arrangement of Wright '234 with the vacuum cleaner of Sjoberg '928 for the purpose of filtering residual contaminants from the air current. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to generate the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success. Regarding claim 28, the teaching of Sjoberg '928 are set forth above. Sjoberg '928 does not teach a pusher unit to compact the detritus in a boxed tank. Katsumi '030 does teach a pusher B in a boxed tank 10 (see figure). It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pusher and box arrangement of Katsumi '030 with the vacuum cleaner of Sjoberg '928 for the purpose of releasing the compressed and collected detritus. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to generate the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1797

Claim 25-27, 29-34, and 38-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and is listed on PTO-892 (Notice of References Cited). The references are considered to be of interest, as the references relate to the art of vacuum cleaners.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonji Turner whose telephone number is 571-272-1203. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 10:00 am – 2:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1797

/Duane S. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797 6-23-08

st 06/23/08